## **United States Court of Appeals**

## FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

No. 99-4272

CRYSTALLINE S. BAILEY,

Appellant,

v.

Appeal from the United States

District Court for the
Eastern District of Arkansas

Appellee.

Submitted: June 14, 2000
Filed: June 29, 2000

Before WOLLMAN and BEAM, Circuit Judges, and PANNER,<sup>1</sup> District Judge.

\_\_\_\_\_

## PER CURIAM.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Honorable Owen M. Panner, United States District Judge for the District of Oregon, sitting by designation.

Plaintiff Crystalline S. Bailey appeals the district court's<sup>2</sup> grant of summary judgment for defendant Anchor Packaging on plaintiff's employment discrimination claims. We have reviewed the matter de novo and conclude that the evidence, considered in the light most favorable to Bailey, shows that there is no genuine issue of material fact and that defendant is entitled to judgment as a matter of law. See Treanor v. MCI Telecomm. Corp., 200 F.3d 570, 573 (8th Cir. 2000). The district court correctly ruled that defendant took prompt and appropriate remedial action after plaintiff complained that a co-worker had sexually harassed her. See Scusa v. Nestle U.S.A. Co., 181 F.3d 958, 967 (8th Cir. 1999).

We affirm the judgment of the district court.

A true copy.

ATTEST:

CLERK, U.S. COURT OF APPEALS, EIGHTH CIRCUIT.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Honorable Henry Woods, United States District Judge for the Eastern District of Arkansas.